



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(119)

CWP No. 22304 of 2025

Date of Decision : 04.08.2025

Tarsem Masih

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Manveen Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the date of joining the Government Services is wrongly mentioned as 14.08.1997, petitioner was appointed on 10.08.1987 though, his services were terminated in the year 1997, but the said termination order was set-aside by the Labour Court vide Award dated 07.01.2002 (Annexure P-1) and the petitioner was given continuity of service and full back wages hence for all intents and purposes the date of joining of the petitioner is to be taken as 10.08.1987 whereas, after the retirement the same has been taken as 14.08.1997 in the Pension Paper Order, which is incorrect and benefits admissible to the petitioner have not been correctly assessed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 07.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

August 04, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No