



CRWP-8885-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Serial No. 203

CRWP-8885-2024

Decided on:11.11.2025

Bawi and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. D. S. Kahlon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Advocate
for respondent no.1/UOI.

Mr. Satjot Singh Chahal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a direction to respondents no.1 to 4 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing them police protection as they are facing threats from respondents no. 5 to 8 who are under the influence of respondent no.9, a Cabinet Minister, in the State of Punjab. This has been done in connivance with the remaining respondents no.10 to 17. The petitioners are being harassed on the pretext of implicating them in various criminal cases.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that respondent no.9 has been constantly using volunteers to threaten the petitioners, who are



party. Under his influence, the criminal case in question is not being properly investigated. Learned counsel, however, has not been able to point out any specific threats extended to the petitioners by the respondents.

3. Learned State counsel has referred to affidavit dated 08.10.2025, filed on behalf of the State of Punjab by Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural), district Pathankot, which is to the following effect:

5. That on the perusal of the report of the Station House Officer, Police Station Sadar Pathankot as well as the record, the following points have come on record, which are mentioned here in below for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court :-

A. Brief Facts of the matter :-

(i) That the petitioner no. 1 is mother and petitioner no. 3 is the brother of the petitioner no. 2/accused Jagjit Singh @Raja and the names of petitioner no. 1 & 3 are not impleaded/included in the present FIR No. 85 dated 16.08.2023 registered under sections 67 of IT Act and sections 341, 506 of IPC by the Police Station Sadar Pathankot. **The facts of the matter are that** the accused Jagjit Singh @Raja has made obscene comment on Facebook ID of complainant/respondent no. 16 namely Amit Kumar S/o Manohar Lal R/o Village Kataru Chak, Tehsil and District Pathankot and the accused also wrongfully restrained & pushed the complainant. Thus on the complaint dated 16.08.2023 made by the complainant/respondent no. 16 namely Amit Kumar S/o Manohar Lal, a FIR no. 0085 dated 16.08.2023 under section 67 IT Act, 341 & 506 of IPC was registered by the Police of Police Station Sadar Pathankot against the petitioner no. 2/accused Jagjit Singh @Raja S/o Sh. Ramesh Lal resident of Village Kataru Chak, Tehsil and District Pathankot.

(ii) That the complainant repeatedly apprehended threats from the petitioner no. 2/ accused Jagjit Singh @Raja and therefore, in order to maintain law and order the petitioner no. 2/ accused



Jagjit Singh @Raja was detained under section 107/151 Cr.P.C. vide Rapat No. 27 dated 16.08.2023.

iii) It is pertinent to mention here that earlier on dated 24.05.2023 the petitioner no. 2/ accused Jagjit Singh @Raja and petitioner no. 3 Balwan Singh also quarreled/took altercation with ASI Narinder Pal No. 535/PTK, therefore, in order to maintain law and order, Jagjit Singh @Raja (petitioner no. 2/ accused) and Balwan Singh (petitioner no. 3) were detained under section 107/151 Cr.P.C. vide Rapat No. 07 dated 25.05.2023.

(iv) That at present, Jagjit Singh @Raja (petitioner no. 2/accused) is on bail. The investigation in the said FIR case has been completed and Challan against the petitioner no. 2/ accused namely Jagjit Singh has been presented by the Police before the Ld. Trial Court. Charges have been framed and the prosecution has examined two prosecution witnesses (including the complainant/respondent no. 16 namely Amit Kumar) out of 9 Prosecution witnesses. Now the said FIR case is pending adjudication before the Court of Ms. Ravneet Kaur Bedi, JMIC Pathankot and fixed for 27.10.2025 for Prosecution Evidence.

xxx xxx

During the Course of Enquiry, it is found that the petitioner/accused Jagjit Singh alias Raja is a short-tempered person who repeatedly engages in quarrels. The petitioners Jagjit Singh alias Raja, Balwan Singh, and others have no threat from anyone and no threats have been issued by the private respondents to Bavi Devi or her children from any terrorist organization or gangsters. The present petition has only been filed expressing a perceived threat against the petitioners. Therefore, it is recommended that security not be granted to the petitioner party.

These assertions have not been disputed by filing a counter affidavit.

4. Heard.



5. Considering the facts aforementioned, it is apparent that petitioner no.2 is an accused in case FIR No. 85 dated 16.08.2023, registered under Section 67 IT Act, Sections 341 and 506 IPC, in which charges have been framed and the trial is going on. Therefore, the allegations against the respondents of not properly investigating the case are of no substance. Besides, an affidavit has been filed on behalf of the State that there is no threat to life and liberty of the petitioners, and no security is required to be granted to them.

6. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of at this stage granting liberty to the petitioners to approach respondent no.4/Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, in case there is any threat to life and liberty of the petitioners in future, who will take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

11.11.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No