



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

239 RSA No.3908 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.01.2025

Pritam Singh ...Appellant
V/s
State Bank of India and another ...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Khunger, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Inder Pal Singh Doabia, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel representing respondent No.1-State Bank of India submits that the principal borrower namely Rakesh Kumar (respondent No.2) has settled the loan account with respondent No.1 and has paid the entire outstanding amount. He submits that under the circumstances, there would be no need to execute the judgment and decree under challenge against the appellants and the regular second appeal has, therefore, been rendered infructuous.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he also has similar instructions and the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

3. Ordered accordingly.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

January 07, 2025
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No