



CRM-M-45445-2024

-1-

256

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45445-2024
Date of Decision: 08.07.2025**

Sunny Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners (through V.C.).

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Yash Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of the FIR bearing No.0025, dated 07.06.2022, under Sections 498-A, 406 & 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the petitioners and the complainant.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has caused appearance through video conferencing and submitted that in the present case the dispute is essentially a matrimonial dispute between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 and consequent upon the same, the present FIR was got registered against the petitioners vide Annexure P-1 but thereafter with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has now been compromised between the parties. Vide



order dated 13.09.2024, a direction was issued by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court to the parties to get their statements recorded before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate and in pursuance thereof, the petitioners and respondent No.2 have appeared before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the genuineness and voluntariness of the compromise between them. He further submitted that once the matrimonial dispute has already been resolved between the parties, no useful purpose will be served in case further proceedings are carried on. Therefore, the impugned FIR may be quashed based upon compromise.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab has submitted that the dispute is essentially a matrimonial dispute between the parties and since the parties have amicably resolved their dispute, appropriate orders may be passed in this regard.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2/wife has submitted that the dispute in the present case is essentially a matrimonial dispute between the parties and with the intervention of respectables, the same has been amicably resolved between them and respondent No.2/wife has already got recorded her statement before learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda and she has no objection in case the present FIR is quashed in view of the aforesaid compromise arrived at between the parties.

5. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

6. The present impugned FIR has been lodged as a result of matrimonial dispute between petitioner No.1/husband and respondent No.2/wife and with the intervention of respectables, the matter has been compromised vide Annexure P-2. In pursuance of the order dated 13.09.2024,



the parties have got recorded their statements before learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda and in this regard a report dated 09.01.2025 has been received from learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda, in which, it has been so reported that the petitioners are the only accused and respondent No.2/complainant is the only aggrieved person and as per the statement of the Investigating Officer, none of the accused person is declared as proclaimed offender in the present FIR and it has been further reported that in view of the statement of the parties i.e. the petitioners and respondent No.2/complainant, a compromise has been effected between them and the same is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

7. The law with regard to the quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise is no longer *res integra*. Hon'ble Supreme Court in “**State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others**”, 2019(2) SCC (Crl.) 706 and also in “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, 2013(1) SCC (Crl.) 160 and Full Bench judgment of this Court in “**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab** 2007 (3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052,” held that it will be in the interest of justice and to secure the ends of justice, the FIR can be quashed based upon compromise, especially in view of the fact that the subject matter does not pertain to any serious or heinous offence.

8. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and perusing the report sent by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda dated 09.01.2025, this Court is satisfied that since the subject matter of the present petition is essentially a matrimonial dispute and the matter entirely stands settled between the parties, it will not be just and proper for the parties to face prosecution. Therefore, this Court is of the considered view that it is a fit case for quashing



CRM-M-45445-2024

-4-

of the FIR based upon compromise being essentially a matrimonial dispute.

9. Consequently, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.0025, dated 07.06.2022, under Sections 498-A, 406 & 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed on the basis of compromise *qua* the present petitioners.

08.07.2025

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No