



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-336 of 2025
in CWP-PIL-164-2025
Date of decision: 06.08.2025**

Jage Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY, JUDGE**

Present:- Mr. Rohit Kataria, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil Sabherwal, Advocate, for applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG Haryana with
Mr. Pradeep S. Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

.....

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

By way of review application, the applicant-petitioner seeks review of order/judgment dated 11.07.2025 passed in CWP-PIL-164-2025, '*Jage Singh vs. State of Haryana and others*'.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner points out that the primary prayer in CWP-PIL-164-2025 was against the encroachment being made by the sitting Sarpanch and other persons, which has not been referred to in the order under review, and therefore a palpable error has occurred.



RA-CW-336 of 2025 in CWP-PIL-164-2025

3. The contentions of learned counsel for applicant-petitioner may be true to a certain extent but considering the fact that complaints/representations both dated 10.09.2024, (Annexures P-6 and P-7), which we have directed to be decided by the concerned authorities vide the order under review contain the said grievance of encroachment as well as request for removal of Sarpanch and therefore we do not find that there is any error apparent on the face of the record.

5. Accordingly, review application (RA-CW-336-2025) stands dismissed.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

06.08.2025

preeti

i)	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
ii)	<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>