



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24561-2022

Date of decision : 29.11.2025

Pardeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The petitioner – borrower is before this Court assailing notice dated 21.09.2022 (Annexure P-9) issued by the respondent – Bank u/s 13 (2) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent – bank informs that loan account of the petitioner – borrower has been closed.
3. In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondent – bank, the petition has become infructuous.
4. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

November 29, 2025

narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No