



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(203)

CWP No. 22933 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 15.07.2025

Dr. Ashwani

...Petitioner

Versus

National Institute of Technology and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present case, Clause No. 6 of the letter dated 11.06.2024 by which the petitioner has been directed to vacate the accommodation within a period of three months, has been challenged on the ground that once the petitioner retain the right of lien to come back on the post in question for a period of one year, he can also retain the accommodation.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the present writ petition was filed in September, 2024 and one year has already expired keeping in view the letter dated 11.06.2024 and even after expiry of one year, the petitioner cannot be allowed to retain the accommodation, especially when he had not come back and the lien has ended.

3. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner hence, it can be safely presumed that keeping in view the facts, the petitioner might not be interested in pursuing the present petition.



- 4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 15, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No