

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:144521



209

CRM-M-42309-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.10.2025.

Suman and others

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Ankit Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lakshay Malik, Advocate
for the complainant.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.217 dated 04.06.2025, under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 190, 191(3), 304 & 351(3) of BNS (Section 117(2) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Kherki Daula, District Gurugram.

Brief facts of this case are that on 03.06.2025, the information was received at the police station that one Vimla Devi had sustained injuries during a physical altercation and had been admitted to General Hospital, Sector-10, Gurugram. On this information, police officials reached at the hospital, where complainant Vimla Devi gave a written complaint that on 03.06.2025 at about 8.00P.M., she was returning home after purchasing

vegetables and when she reached street No.1 of her colony, then suddenly Suman, Hema, Shani, Sapna, Shashi, Sumit, Dullichand, Karamaveer, Krishana, along with weapons in their hands, surrounded her and blocked her path and started physically assaulting her. They also threatened her and snatched her purse containing Rs.5,000/- and phone make VIVO. Hence, FIR in question was registered against the petitioner and other persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case as a counter blast to the FIR which had been got registered by the petitioners against the complainant party for causing injuries to the petitioners and their family members. The present FIR has been falsely got registered only to put pressure upon the petitioners for effecting compromise in the aforesaid matter. The injuries attributed to the petitioners are simple in nature. He prayed that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and they are ready and willing to join the investigation and the present petition be allowed. Earlier interim bail was granted to petitioners No,1, 2, 3 and 6 by this Court, which order was made absolute on 08.09.2025.

Today the learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Paramjit, has apprised the court that in compliance of order dated 06.08.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner No.5-Karamveer @ Karambir had also joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

In view of the aforesaid submission made by learned State counsel, the instant petition is allowed and the relief, as extended to petitioner No.5 by this Court vide order dated 06.08.2025, is hereby made absolute.

However, the petitioner No.5 shall continue to join the investigation as and when required/ called upon to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 482(2) BNSS.

Learned State counsel opposed the petition qua petitioner No.4 while contending that the allegations levelled against petitioner No.4-Sapna are serious in nature and she is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Heard.

As per the allegations, petitioner No.4-Sapna had snatched the Vivo mobile and purse containing Rs.5,000/- of the complainant and she was allegedly armed with a stick. However, no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner No.4. The custodial interrogation of the petitioner No.4 is not required for any purpose and no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition qua petitioner No.4 is also allowed. In the event of his arrest, petitioner No.4-Sapna is ordered to be released on bail, on her furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions, as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and she shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations

recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail application.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

16.10.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No