



CRM-M-51900-2024 (O&M)

1

238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51900-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.02.2025

SATISH KATYAL AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. D.K. Singhal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, Addl. PP UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Pragbir Singh Dhindsa Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No. 86 dated 25.07.2023 under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Maloya, Chandigarh (Union Territory) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.09.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 16.01.2025:

“CRM-44749-2024

This is an application under Section 528 of BNSS seeking placing on record the affidavit dated 08.11.2024 and order dated 14.09.2023 as Annexures P-5 and P-6. Allowed as prayed for and annexures P-5 and P-6 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-51900-2024

List on 15.02.2025.

In the meantime, Parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within a period of two weeks or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the com-



promise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of the compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 15.02.2025.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 86 dated 25.07.2023 under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Maloya, Chandigarh (Union Territory) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

15.02.2025

Ajay Goswami

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>