



LPA-2209-2024 and connected cases

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-2209-2024

Date of Decision: September 15, 2025

Inderjit Singh

.... Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

LPA-2211-2024

Narotam Singh

.... Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

LPA-2212-2024

Balwinder Kaur

.... Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

LPA-2214-2024

Tarlok Singh

.... Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

LPA-967-2022

Hardeep Singh and others

.... Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

LPA-968-2022

Darshan Singh and others

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Appellant

.... Respondents

LPA-969-2022

Kuldip Singh (deceased) Through L.Rs.and others

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Appellants

.... Respondents

LPA-970-2022

Gurbaj Singh and others

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Appellants

.... Respondents

LPA-971-2022

Hazoor Singh and others

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Appellants

.... Respondents

LPA-972-2022

Rachhpal Singh and others

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Appellants

.... Respondents

LPA-973-2022

Bhupinder Singh and others
Versus
.... Appellants

Union of India and others
.... Respondents

LPA-974-2022

Satwant Kaur and others
Versus
.... Appellants

Union of India and others
.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: - Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Sr. Panel Counsel and
Mr. Ishank Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.1-Union of India.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate for respondent No.2
(in LPA No.2209 & 2211 of 2024).

Mr. R. S. Madaan, Advocate with
Mr. Mahender Joshi, Advocate
for respondent NHAI.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the present appeals may be disposed of as having been not pressed with liberty to take an appropriate remedy

under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 against the arbitral award, in case available.

2. Ordered accordingly.
3. A photocopy of this order shall be placed on files of other connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 15, 2025
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No