



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CRM-M-41667-2025

Date of Decision : 27.08.2025

ISHPREET SINGH @ HARSH @ ISHPREET SINGH GROVER

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate for
Mr.Sunny K.Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.116 dated 09.06.2025, under Sections 21-B, 21-C and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 and 25 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. As per the allegations, only intermediate quantity of Cocaine was recovered from his possession. The petitioner is in custody since 09.06.2025 i.e. more than two months. He is already remanded to judicial custody and not required for investigation purpose. He is the first time offender and no other case is pending against



him. He is a young man of 21 years. If he will be kept behind the bars he will come into association of the hard core criminals.

3. Status report by way of affidavit and custody certificate of the petitioner filed by learned State counsel is taken on record.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposed the bail petition on the ground that after the arrest of the present petitioner, he nominated two other co-accused namely Akhilesh and Nigerian Collins and from their possession also contraband is recovered. From co-accused namely Akhilesh, 105 grams of cocaine was recovered on 13.06.2025 and 7 grams of cocaine was recovered from the present petitioner on 09.06.2025. He, therefore, prayed for dismissal of the petition

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the fact that when the petitioner was arrested in this case, 07 grams cocaine was found in his possession; it is not disputed that no other case is pending against the present petitioner; the petitioner is in custody for more than two months; he has also been remanded to judicial custody and not required for the investigation purpose; the trial will take time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed subject to the condition that if the petitioner is involved in another case of similar nature i.e. NDPS, the prosecution is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail before trial Court and the relief granted by this Court shall be deemed to be



withdrawn. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

27.08. 2025*Anju***(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No