

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-22016-2025

Date of Decision : **August 01, 2025**

RANJEET KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present : Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer is made for setting aside the letter dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-8) passed by respondent No.3, whereby the claim of the petitioner exercised vide option dated 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) for the fixation of his pay w.e.f. 01.11.2019 on account of revised deemed date of promotion to the post of TG-I(M) granted by respondent No.3 vide office order dated 02.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been rejected. Further prayer has been made for issuance of directions to the respondents to accept the option submitted by the petitioner on 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) by fixing the pay of the petitioner for the purpose of annual increment w.e.f. 01.11.2019 alongwith arrears and other consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.3 to decide the matter afresh keeping in

view his representation dated 12.04.2025 (Annexure P-9), in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 and has filed his memo of appearance, which is taken on record. He does not oppose the prayer, as made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 12.04.2025 (Annexure P-9), within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The authority while deciding the representation shall not be influenced by any of the observations made earlier vide order dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-8).

6. Needless to say that the representation shall be decided in adherence with the principles of natural justice by giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and by passing a detailed and reasoned speaking order.

7. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

August 01, 2025
ajaysharma

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No