

**LPA-1880-2018 (O&M)****-1-****201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****LPA-1880-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.11.2025****HARJEET SINGH****...APPELLANT****VERSUS****PERMANENT LOK ADALAT (PUBLIC UTILITY
SERVICES), DISTRICT FATEHGARH SAHIB
AND ORS.****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. D.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Rajneesh Malhotra, Advocate (through video conferencing)
for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal has been filed under Clause 10 of Letters Patent Act against the order dated 28.09.2018 passed by this Court vide which the same was dismissed by stating that there is no error on the part of the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), in rejecting the claim of the petitioner/appellant vide order dated 16.05.2018.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the learned Single Judge has dismissed the petition bearing CWP No.18615 of 2018 vide order dated 28.09.2018 only on the ground that no evidence was brought before the Permanent Lok Adalat in support of his claim as the affidavit so placed, was not signed by the appellant but, no liberty was given to claim the benefit in accordance with law. Hence, liberty be given to the appellant to approach the Permanent Lok Adalat again for the redressal of his grievances.

**LPA-1880-2018 (O&M)****-2-**

prayer made by learned counsel for the appellant.

3. Keeping in view the present appeal is disposed of with the observations that in case, appellant avail a fresh remedy before the Permanent Lok Adalat for redressal of his grievances in accordance with law, the same will be considered as per law.

4. Ordered accordingly.

[HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI]
JUDGE

[VIKAS SURI]
JUDGE

29.11.2025

renubala

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No