



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-41235-2025
Date of decision: 01.09.2025**

KARANDEEP SINGH @ KARAN**....Petitioner**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present : Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.163 dated 29.06.2025 under Sections 310(4) & 310(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Gate Hakiman, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.
2. On 31.07.2025, following order was passed:-

“Instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.163 dated 29.06.2025 under Sections 310(4) & 310(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Gate Hakiman, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the FIR (supra) was registered on the basis of secret information. Admittedly, three co accused of the petitioner were arrested at the spot. Further, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and even his correct particulars have not been recorded either in the secret information or in the FIR (supra). The petitioner is a young boy aged about 22 years and his false implication is clearly discernible from the facts and circumstances of the case. The petitioner is having



- 2-

clean antecedents and he is not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion for 01.09.2025.

Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law."

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 31.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the official respondent confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 13.08.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



- 3-

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 31.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

01.09.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No