



CRM-M-41773 of 2025 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216+133

CRM-M-41773 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2025

Amanpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Manpreet Singh Longia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

CRM-33366-2025

Application has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’) for placing on record the
FIR No.111 dated 10.07.2025 as Annexure P-5 and pen drive as Annexure
P-6.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed. FIR and pen drive are taken on record as Annexures P-5 and P-6,
respectively.

CRM-M-41773 of 2025

1. On 04.08.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the
BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of
anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a
criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as
detailed hereunder:-*

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Amanpreet Singh, aged 32 years	173	03.05.2025	121(1), 132, 191(2), 191(3), 61 of BNS, 2023	Pinjore	Panchkula



2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that petitioner is neither named in FIR, nor is the main accused. He also contends that main accused in the case with whom complainant – Kuldeep Kumar (employee of Himachal Pradesh Roadways) had a tiff is ‘Satinder’, with whom there was an issue of complainant. Petitioner has not role in the incident. Moreover, nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, therefore, he is ready to join investigation, if protected from arrest.*

3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and points out that petitioner is an habitual offender, as one more case of similar nature, i.e., FIR No.111, dated 10.07.2025, u/s 126(2), 221, 132, 3(5) of BNS, 2023, has been registered against him at Police Station Rupnagar. In the said FIR, allegation against the petitioner is that he along with his family members indulged in scuffle with police team and tore off the uniform of the police official and then fled away from the spot.*

5. *Adjourned to 18.08.2025.*

6. *Let status report be filed along with evidence, which has been relied upon by the prosecution qua the identity of the petitioner.”*

2. From the status report dated 13.08.2025 in paragraph Nos. 12 and 13, it clearly reveals that the petitioner is found to be present at the place of incident on 03.05.2025. The CDR of the mobile No. 9779366692 belongs to the petitioner is obtained along with CDR route and then said facts were ascertained. The explanation mentioned in Para Nos. 12 and 13 are as follows:-

“12. That it is, submitted that the name of the present petitioner has been cropped up during the course of investigation. It is, submitted that CDR of the named accused person namely Satender Singh were sought, wherein the involvement of his son namely Jujhar Singh was found. During investigation, while perusing the CDR of co-accused Jujhar Singh, the mobile phone no. 9779366692 of present petitioner were found to be suspicious and later-on during investigation same was found to be active at the place of occurrence. However, during investigation it was found that the present petitioner is the nephew of co-accused namely Satender Singh who at the time of the incident was in constant touch with co-accused Jujhar Singh and also involved in the commission of offence.

13. That during investigation, CDR of the mobile



No.9779366692 belongs to the present petitioner were sought. While perusing the same it was found that on the dated of the incident i.e. 03.05.2025 the CDR route of the present petitioner is found to be of Roop Nagar towards Nalagarh, Nalagarh to Baddi, Jhar Majri and Shisma Road Chandigarh. That it was also found that at the time of the incident i.e. dated 03.05.2025 the location of the present petitioner was found to be at the place of occurrence i.e. around 11:55 AM. The relevant portion of the CDRs of the present petitioner and other co-accused persons has been annexed herewith as ANNEXURE R-2.”

- 3. Taking note of the explanation which probablised the allegations to be true and correct on *prima facie* basis, this Court does not find any substantial reasons to grant extra ordinary relief to the petitioner.
- 4. Consequently, there is no option, but to dismiss the petition.
- 5. Ordered accordingly.
- 6. Pending application(s), if any shall also stands disposed off.

25.08.2025
Rajeev (rvs)

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No