



114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-22892-2025
DECIDED ON:03.12.2025**

VEERU RAM

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.K. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 20/12/2024 (Annexure P-12), passed by the respondent BSF department, Whereby the representation dated 21/08/2024 (P-10), and candidature of the petitioner has been rejected, on the ground of late submission of NS certificate dated 26.09.2023 (P-5) whereas no action has been taken regarding the enquiry and cancellation of the candidates who have submitted fake certificates in this recruitment process, despite respondent No. 4 has itself stated while responding to the E-Mail of the petitioner 03.08.2024 (P-9), that the certificate of one of the selected candidate, appended with email, is not issued by NSDC training partners, respondent department failed in supplying information on this issue to the petitioner by way of letter dated 27/01/2025 (P-13).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the candidature of the petitioner has been rejected on account of late submission of the relevant certificate issued by the National Skill India (Annexure P-5). The said certificate was issued to him in September 2023, whereas, as per the Advertisement, the cut-off date was 27.03.2023. The petitioner assails the impugned order dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-12), asserting that certain candidates who submitted their certificates after the cut-off date and whose certificates are allegedly fake, have been considered and even selected, as is evident from the e-mail dated 03.08.2024 (Annexure P-9).

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anil Chawla, learned Senior Panel Counsel, having been served with an advance copy of the petition, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent-UOI and has filed his short reply along with Annexure R-1, which are taken on record. Copy furnished to the opposite counsel.

5. Learned Senior Panel Counsel states on record that the candidate whose certificate was allegedly issued by the National Skill India and found to be fake has already been dismissed from service, as detailed in paragraph 2 of the reply.

6. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

7. Prayer is accepted.

8. Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

03.12.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No