



LPA-146-2018 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(212)

LPA-146-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29.07.2025

Inder Singh (since deceased) through his LRs

...Appellants

Versus

The Financial Commissioner (Revenue) Punjab
and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate
Ms. Amita Arora, Advocate and
Ms. Kavita, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (ORAL)

1. This letters patent appeal arises out of an order dated 18.11.2016, passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby, the challenge made to the orders impugned in the writ was declined with a clear finding that the appellant is not a displaced person, and therefore, he has no *locus* to intervene in the matter. The observations and findings contained in the order of the learned Single Judge, in that regard, is reproduced hereinafter :-

“As noticed hereinabove, it does not appeal to reasons as to how the petitioner is feeling aggrieved against the order of allotment, made by the competent authority in the year 1963, in favour of his landlord namely; Shri Kartar Singh, particularly when petitioner himself is beneficiary, being the tenant on that very piece of land. In this view of the matter, it is held that petitioner had no locus standi to challenge the allotment order



passed by the competent authority in favour of Shri Kartar Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also failed to point out any patent illegality or perversity in either of the impugned orders passed by the respondent authorities. Further, no prejudice of any kind, whatsoever, has been pointed out, which might have been caused to the petitioner by passing the impugned orders, warranting interference at the hands of this Court, while exercising its writ jurisdiction under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

No other argument was raised.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that the instant petition is wholly misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance, thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the present writ petition stands dismissed, however, with no order as to costs.”

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that notices were issued in the present matter, as reference of the State was stated to be pending.

3. Merely because the reference of the State is pending, can hardly be a ground to entertain the present appeal when the *locus* of the appellant is found to be suspect. The observations made by the learned Single Judge that the appellant is not a displaced person, is not disputed. The findings contained in the order of the learned Single Judge holding the appellant to have no *locus*, cannot thus be faulted.

4. The instant letters patent appeal has no merit, and is accordingly **dismissed.**



5. It is made clear that dismissal of instant appeal will not prejudice the reference or other proceedings, which shall be taken to its logical end, in accordance with law.
6. Pending miscellaneous applications(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

July 29, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No