



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

- (112)

CWP No. 22197 of 2025
Date of Decision : 04.08.2025

Good Morning India Media Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Gurugram
and another

...Respondents
- (113)

CWP No. 22200 of 2025

Good Morning India Media Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Gurugram
and another

...Respondents
- (114)

CWP No. 22205 of 2025

Good Morning India Media Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Gurugram
and another

...Respondents
- (115)

CWP No. 22207 of 2025

Good Morning India Media Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Gurugram
and another

...Respondents
- (116)

CWP No. 22211 of 2025

Good Morning India Media Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

CWP No. 22197 of 2025 and
other connected cases

2025:PHHC:098884



2

Versus

**Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Gurugram
and another**

...Respondents

(117)

CWP No. 22212 of 2025

Good Morning India Media Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

**Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Gurugram
and another**

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gurinder Singh Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in all cases.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the petitioner(s) had approached this Court challenging the Ex-parte Award dated 13.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) before this Court but keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***M/s Haryana Suraj Malting Ltd. Vs. Phool Chand***, 2018(3) SCT 97, the said writ petition was withdrawn with the liberty to file an application before the Tribunal for setting-aside the said Ex-parte Award.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the application has already been moved before the Tribunal for setting-aside the Ex-parte Award, which application is not being considered and adjourned and simultaneously, the beneficiaries of the Award have already filed for Execution of the said Award where the petitioner(s) are being pressed for



implementation of the Award. Learned counsel further submits that once the petitioner(s) have already filed an application for setting-aside the Ex-parte Award, the same needs to be decided, otherwise, once the Award is implemented in pursuance to the Execution filed by the beneficiaries, the petitioner(s) will suffer irreparable loss as they will not be able to recover the amount from the beneficiaries.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) further submits that the only prayer of the petitioner(s) is that the Tribunal be directed to decide the application filed by the petitioner(s) seeking setting-aside of the Ex-parte Award along with an application for staying the operation of said Award during the pendency of the said application, in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer.

4. Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, the Tribunal is directed to decide the application seeking setting-aside of the Ex-parte Award or in the alternative, the application for staying the Ex-parte Award filed by the petitioner(s) within a period of six weeks from the next date of hearing fixed before the Tribunal, after giving due opportunity to all the parties to present its respective case.

5. The present petitions are disposed of in above terms.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

August 04, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No