



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25037-2022

Date of Decision : 12.03.2025

HARJINDER SINGH DHILLON AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate and
Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioners having apprehension to their life approached this Court through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a mandamus upon the respondents to provide adequate and required security cover/police protection to the petitioners by way of enhancing the security cover already provided.

2. Upon notice a short reply dated 08.05.2024, was filed by the State, which voiced about some previous incident which occurred requiring the police agency to depute one gunman to each petitioners.

This has been done on account of allegations made by the petitioners about receiving threat calls from some foreign number. The relevant extract of the affidavit (*supra*), is extracted hereinafter:-

“16. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural, vide report dated 04-04-2024 has reported that Jajbir Singh S/o Harjinder Singh (Petitioner) was shot dead on 13-07-2009, in which a case FIR No. 164, dated 14-07-2009, u/s 302 IPC Tarn Taran, was registered against seven accused persons. One accused namely Gurmeet Singh, has been convicted in this case. In an another case a security guard of petitioner Harjinder Singh was murdered in the Hotel Seven Star by the manager of hotel namely Umesh Kumar due to Mutual enmity. In this regard the case FIR No. 201 dated 15-07-2018 u/ 302, 380, 120-B, 34 IPC Tarn Taran was registered. In a complaint the complainant has alleged about receiving threat calls to him and his son Jagjit Singh from unknown persons from foreign mobile numbers. During enquiry it has been found that Harjinder Singh and his son Jagjit Singh have received threat calls from foreign numbers. Therefore, to avoid any untoward incident and as a precautionary measure one gunman each is deployed with the petitioners Harjinder Singh and Jagjit Singh.

In view of the above mentioned reports submitted by the field units the adequate security (one gun man each) has been provided to the petitioners. On purely on temporary basis, subject to the review as per norms and guidelines under State Security Policy-2013”

3. Since, as on date, adequate police security has been provided to the petitioners, therefore, at this stage, this Court deems it apt to dispose of the instant petition, with a *mandamus* upon the respondents to periodically examine the threat perceptions to the petitioners, if any, in view of the norms and guidelines under State Security Policy, 2013, as well as SOP adopted by the Director General of Punjab, on dated 23.05.2024, for granting police protection to a private individual on the ground of threat perceptions.

4. In case the petitioners receives any fresh threat perceptions, they are at liberty to approach the SSP concerned, by making representation(s). In case such representation(s) is received, the latter

concerned shall examine the same and take apt decision in view of the Scheme (*supra*).

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

March 12, 2025
dhaaramvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No