



CRM-M-41451-2025

-1-

**133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41451-2025

Date of Decision: 01.08.2025

Aman Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Lovepreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned order dated 02.07.2025 and 21.07.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula, in a case FIR No.205 dated 25.08.2017 under Section 148, 149, 188, 295-A, 427, 436, 452 IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of PDPP Act, 1984, registered at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula, vide which arrest warrants have been issued against the petitioner.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was granted regular bail by learned trial Court vide order dated 18.11.2017 and thereafter, he was regularly appearing before the trial Court. He submits that on 02.07.2025, due to his medical reasons, he could not appear before the trial Court and therefore, warrants of arrest have been issued against the petitioner. He submits that absence of the petitioner was totally unintentional and due to the circumstances beyond his control. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court and abide by all the terms and conditions, if any imposed by this Court.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of



CRM-M-41451-2025

-2-

the State and has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that learned trial Court has rightly issued warrants of arrest against the petitioner, as he intentionally did not appear before it, on the date fixed.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is evident that due to non-appearance of the petitioner on 02.07.2025, warrants of arrest of have been issued. The reason for non-appearance before the Court on the date fixed, as given by the petitioner is his medical reasons. The Court without going into the authenticity of the ground taken for the absence of the petitioner, deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to appear before the Court concerned and to face the trial in the present case. In these circumstances, when the petitioner is ready to join the trial and face the proceedings, the orders dated 02.07.2025 and 21.07.2025 are, set aside, subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- with the **Day Care Centre for Elderly Disabled in home for Old & Destitute People, Sector-15, Chandigarh**, by the petitioner within a period of seven days from today.

6. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of ten days from today and file an appropriate application alongwith receipt of costs of Rs.10,000/- and the trial Court would grant him bail till the disposal of the case on his already furnishing bail/surety bonds. The petitioner will have protection from arrest for a period of ten days from today. The trial Court is free to impose any condition it likes on the petitioner while admitting him to bail.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with



the abovesaid direction, this order would be of no avail to him and the orders under challenge will come in force and the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

8. Petition stands disposed of in abovesaid terms.

01.08.2025			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No