



CR-5374-2024(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-5374-2024(O&M)

Date of decision : 05.02.2025

Shiv Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar Thakur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Dinesh Nagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present revision petition is to the judgment dated 19.03.2019 vide which the petition filed by the respondent no.1 for eviction under the Rent Act has been allowed and the petitioner has been ordered to be evicted from the premises in question. Challenge is also to the order dated 06.08.2024 vide which the appeal filed by the present petitioner has also been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner during the course of arguments, has submitted that the petitioner would withdraw the present revision petition but has sought time to vacate the premises and to shift to another premises. It has been stated that the petitioner and his father has been tenant in the premises for the last more than 40 years.

3. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present revision petition



CR-5374-2024(O&M) 2

with the following observations/directions:-

- i) The petitioner would be permitted to occupy the premises till 05.09.2025, subject to the conditions mentioned hereinafter and would vacate the premises and hand over the keys of the premises to the respondent no.1 on or before 05.09.2025.
 - ii) The petitioner would pay an amount of Rs.1,000/- per month from the month of February, 2025 up to the period the petitioner stays in occupation, on or before 20th of every month.
 - iii) The petitioner would pay the arrears of rent, if any, within a period of two months from today.
 - iv) The petitioner would file an undertaking on the said three aspects before the Executing Court within a period of three weeks from today with an advance copy to counsel for the landlord in the Executing Court.
4. It is made clear that in case the petitioner does not submit the said undertaking or does not comply with any of the abovesaid conditions then it would be open to the respondent to seek immediate possession of the premises in question from the petitioner by applying for police help in addition to taking recourse to other proceedings including the Contempt of Courts Act.
5. In view of what has been observed above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 05, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No