

CRM-M-44643-2024

1

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44643-2024
Decided on: 17.03.2025

Rohit Kumar Gaba

Versus

.....Petitioner

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Harsh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
Rohit Kumar Gaba	87	08.06.2024	415, 420, 120-B and 506 IPC	City Jalalabad, Punjab

2. On 14.02.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and files his vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record.

2. Though, earlier the dispute was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, but on the persuasion of the Court, this time, the parties have agreed to resolve their dispute and in that regard, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to



CRM-M-44643-2024

2

pay total amount of Rs.4 lacs more, to the complainant, to end all the disputes between the parties.

3. Further submits that an amount of Rs.2 lacs in the shape of demand draft, in favour of the complainant namely Shivam Doomra, would be paid before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and rest of Rs.2 lacs would be paid at the time of giving statement for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise, which expectedly would be arrived at before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

4. To do the needful, let all the parties be present before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 28.02.2025.

5. List again on 17.03.2025, awaiting report.

6. Interim order to continue. ”

3. In terms of the order dated 14.02.2025, report dated 12.03.2025 has been received from Mediation and Conciliation Centre, wherein it is mentioned that the dispute between the parties have been settled and terms have also been noted down.

4. Learned counsel for the respective parties including the complainant are *ad idem* to the fact that the monetary dispute between the parties is already resolved.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the monetary dispute between the parties has been settled, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 14.02.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 17, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO