

2025:PHHC:096441



104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41075-2025
DECIDED ON: 31.07.2025**

RAJ ALIAS JAGRAJ SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 235 dated 07.10.2023 under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Panipat, District Panipat.

2 Contention

On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially the petitioner was not named in the FIR, but later on was nominated only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. He further submits that co-accused persons namely Manpreet Singh, Gurpreet Singh have been granted the concession of regular bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide orders dated 07.08.2024 &

19.09.2024 (Annexures P-2 & P-3 respectively) passed in CRM-M-37115-2024 & CRM-M-42651-2024 respectively. He undertakes on behalf of the petitioner that he is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

On behalf of the State/complainant

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Addl. AG. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State. He prays for the dismissal of the present petition, asserting that the petitioner was involved in a criminal conspiracy and fraudulently misappropriated Rs. 20 lakhs under the pretext of a horse sale and purchase transaction, and is allegedly associated with the Gulfa Gang.

3. **Analysis**

In the present case, the petitioner was not named in the FIR and was subsequently implicated on the basis of the disclosure statement of a co-accused, similarly placed co-accused persons, namely Manpreet Singh and Gurpreet Singh, have already been granted the concession of regular bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide orders dated 07.08.2024 and 19.09.2024 (Annexures P-2 & P-3) added with the fact that he is a person of clean antecedents as he is not involved in any other case.

In the light of above, this Court is of the considered view that there is no valid or cogent reason to deny the bail to the present petitioner, wherein he has *bona fide* intentions and is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency in time.

4. **Relief:-**

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within

a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

31.07.2025
Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No