



232-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8673-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 21.03.2025

MUSKAN KASHYAP AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner(s).
Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Ayush Sinwar, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the respondent-CBI.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This Criminal Writ Petition under article 226 of Constitution of India with the prayer to issue directions to respondents no. 2 to 4 to provide adequate security to the life and liberty of petitioners who are living in relationship at the hands of respondents no. 5 to 10, who is out to out to interfere into the life and liberty of the petitioners and to extend life threats, therefore, the petitioners are having apprehension imminent danger to their life and liberty at the hands of the respondents no. 5 to 10 and even for seeking the grant of adequate security, the petitioners also moved representation dated 03..09.2024 (Annexure P-3), but the same did not adhere to, which

would apparent from the facts and circumstances which are being set out in the present petition.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
On the last date also the case was adjourned on the joint request.

In view of the above, it seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition. Hence, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

21.03.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>