



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

CRM-M-41166-2025

Date of decision: 04.08.2025

Sukhpreet Singh

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition, limited challenge has been laid to the order dated 21.07.2025 passed by the learned ACJM, Jagadhari to the extent that the petitioner (herein) has been permitted to go abroad subject to his furnishing FDR of Rs.15.00 lacs in a Nationalized Bank before the trial Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has iterated that the condition imposed by the Court below, while granting permission to travel abroad, the furnishing of surety in the sum of Rs.15.00 lacs in the form of a fixed deposit with a nationalized bank, is excessively onerous and disproportionate to the financial means of the petitioner. It is further iterated that such a stringent condition defeats the very purpose of granting liberty to the petitioner to travel abroad. Furthermore, the petitioner is not at flight risk and is willing to abide by any reasonable condition that may be imposed by this Court. In view of these submissions, the grant of instant petition is entreated for.

3. Learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer of the petitioner, on the basis of advance notice, has submitted that the condition



imposed by the trial Court requiring the petitioner to furnish surety in the sum of Rs.15.00 lacs in the form of fixed deposit with a Nationalized Bank is neither arbitrary nor excessive but rather a reasonable safeguard in the facts and circumstances of the case. Thus, dismissal of the instant petition is prayed for.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and have perused the paper-book.

5. Upon perusal of the record; especially keeping in view the nature of the allegations raised against the petitioner in the FIR in question, the economic background of the petitioner and with a view to give a balance to the cause of the prosecution vis.-a-vis. right of the accused to travel abroad, this Court deems it appropriate to disposed off the petition in the following terms:

(i) The necessity of furnishing the surety in the sum of Rs.15.00 lacs in the form of FDR in a Nationalized Bank before the trial Court is modified and the petitioner shall now be required to furnish surety in the sum of Rs.5.00 lacs in the form of FDR in a Nationalized Bank before the said Court.

(ii) Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

August 04, 2025
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No