



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41237-2025

Date of Decision:01.08.2025

Gursharnjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate with
Ms. Aanchal Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.56, dated 25.06.2025, under Sections 115(2),118(1),126(2),3(5) of B.N.S., 117(2) of B.N.S added later on, registered at Police Station Kotfatta, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that all the offence except 118(1) of B.N.S are bailable in nature. He further contends that the prosecution has wrongly alleged that the petitioner had caused a blow with an axe on the backside of the head of the complainant. Even, otherwise the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature. Learned counsel further contends that the parties have amicably resolved all their disputes and a compromise deed dated 27.07.2025 has been executed between the parties.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG,

Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Gurbinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3.

5. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner was armed with an axe and had caused a blow with an axe on the backside of the head of the complainant. However, he admits that the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature.

6. On the other hand learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No.2 and 3 submits that with the intervention of the respectables, they have entered into a compromise and admits the existence of compromise deed dated 27.07.2025 between the parties. He further contends that he has no objection in case, the present petition is allowed by this Court, as the parties have resolved all their disputes.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

8. In the present case, it has been alleged that the petitioner gave a blow with an axe on the backside of the head of the complainant and the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature. Moreover, all the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and the petitioner has expressed his willingness to join the investigation. Moreover, the parties have entered into a compromise with each other, vide a compromise deed dated 27.07.2025. Thus, no purpose will be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

9. Thus, without commenting any further, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the

conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

01.08.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No