



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

Date of Decision:- 09.12.2025

I. CWP-28659-2019 (O&M)

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD.

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

II. CWP-28627-2019 (O&M)

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD.

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. D.K. Singal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Additional A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Rakshit Gupta, Advocate,

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.5 to 9.

* * * *

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner-Bank, at the very outset, prays for withdrawal of these petitions, which were filed being aggrieved by non-execution of the order passed u/s 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, on the ground that SA-200-2019, filed by the borrower before DRT-II Chandigarh, is pending.

2. Accordingly, the prayer made by the learned counsel for the

petitioner is allowed with liberty to pursue the said SA-200-2019 or seek any other appropriate remedy permissible in law.

- 3. Both the petitions stand dismissed as withdrawn.
- 4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.12.2025
S.Sharma

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No