

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

277

2025.PHHC.165623



CRM-M-44535-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.11.2025.

MEENA ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. Abhay Chawla, Advocate, for
Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Onkar Singh Wahla, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate,
for the respondent/complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

Challenge in the instant petition is to the order dated 27.05.2024 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, in case bearing CIS No.SC-256-2021 arising out of FIR No.201 dated 16.06.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 302, 506 and 285 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, Rohtak, whereby the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. has been allowed and the petitioner has been summoned as an additional accused.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that the present petition has been rendered infructuous since the petitioner has already faced trial and has been acquitted by the trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

November 27, 2025.

raj arora

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No