

**CWP-26033-2021****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(239)**CWP-26033-2021****Date of Decision : 29.10.2025**

Shweta

...Petitioner

Versus

The Registrar, Punjab and Haryana
High Court and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARIPresent: Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Vansham Chhabra, Advocate for respondent No.1.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The petitioner, who is working with the establishment of this Court, has filed the instant writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, and has thrown a challenge to the action of the respondent/U.T. Chandigarh, whereby, a sum of Rs.33,941/-, has been deducted, on account of House Rent Allowance @ Rs.5,911/- per month, for the period from March to September 2021.

2. The principal ground on which the prayer made in the instant writ petition has been set up is that, the petitioner was unable to shift into the allotted house in question, because the said house was in dilapidated condition, till 30.08.2021. Secondly, the petitioner had to earlier approach this Court, to get the renovation work completed, which was admittedly completed by the respondent No.3, on 30.08.2021, and this fact was duly



CWP-26033-2021

2

admitted by the respondent No.3, in its reply dated 03.09.2021 (Annexure P-4).

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-U.T. Chandigarh, has fairly submitted that when the house, in question, was allotted to the petitioner, it required major repair work, and for the said purpose, the petitioner had to earlier approach this Court, for getting the work completed. He further submits that the repair work of the house, in question, was completed on 30.08.2021, and only thereafter, the petitioner took the possession of the house, on 03.09.2021.

4. In view of the factual aspect of the matter, this Court is of the considered opinion that the act of respondent/U.T. Chandigarh, to deduct the House Rent Allowance, for the period, the petitioner did not occupy the house, in question, requires re-consideration.

5. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with a *mandamus*, upon the respondent No.1, to re-examine the entire issue afresh, after giving due opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned, and thereupon, take a final decision by passing a speaking order. This exercise shall be carried out within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 29, 2025
monika/Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No