



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

LPA-1907-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.11.2025

EX-SERVICEMAN SERVICE STATION

...Appellant

Versus

DIVISIONAL MANAGER, BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD.
AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate and
Ms. Taanvi Dhull, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2-BPCL.

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Muskan Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Jashan Preet Singh Deol, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the respective parties agree that the present appeal can be disposed of by giving a direction to the Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (for short 'BPCL') to decide the application dated 17.01.2019 filed by the appellant, wherein it has claimed that under the reconstitution policy, the appellant is entitled to be allowed the dealership of the petrol station, which application has not been decided so far and the BPCL be directed to decide the said application in a time bound manner.

2. After hearing all the concerned parties and keeping in view the above, the direction is issued to the BPCL that the application so received at the hands of the appellant under the reconstitution policy so as to allow him



the dealership of the concerned petrol station, be decided within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order after hearing all the concerned.

3. In case, after the hearing of concerned parties, the BPCL decides to allow the application filed by the appellant, no further proceedings are required to be undertaken but in case the said application is rejected, the BPCL will be within its jurisdiction to decide as to how the said outlet is to be run. Further, the parties to the litigation are given opportunity that in case the order so passed by the BPCL causes prejudice to them, they can avail appropriate remedy admissible to them.

4. As the question of allowing the appellant to continue with the operation of the said petrol pump is to be decided by the BPCL within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order, till any order is passed on the basis of the direction given hereinbefore, the appellant will be allowed to continue to run the petrol pump as being done so far but in case the order is passed by the BPCL against the appellant, the BPCL will be within its jurisdiction to take appropriate decision to stop the supply.

5. The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

November 20, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No