

112

2025:PHHC:126676-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21807-2025
Date of decision : 15.09.2025

Lt.Gen.Devender Paul Vats

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Abhijeet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of impugned show cause notice No.9384 dated 14.08.2015 along with all subsequent proceedings issued by respondent No.3.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner had been allotted the plot in the 'reserved' category in the year 1996. The respondents have erroneously issued the show cause notice for cancellation of the plot on the ground that the petitioner earlier had been allotted another plot by the respondents. He however, submits that earlier allotment was not under the 'reserved' quota and had been allotted to the petitioner in the 'general' category on freehold basis.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, we are of the considered view that the notice was issued by the respondents on 14.08.2015 and the petitioner was required to show cause as to why his residential plot be not cancelled and FIR be lodged against him.

4. Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl.A.G., Haryana, who is appearing on behalf of the respondent-State on receipt of advance notice submits that the FIR has already been lodged against the petitioner.

5. We however, find it difficult to believe that if the show cause notice had been issued in the year 2015 and the FIR had also been registered against the petitioner, then why no further action has been taken by the respondents in the matter till date.

6. In the afore-noted facts, we deem it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass an order in accordance with law after affording him opportunity of personal hearing.

7. Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction that respondent No.2 shall afford an opportunity of personal hearing and after considering the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-14), the respondent No.2 shall pass an order in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

15.09.2025

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No