



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CRM-M-45080-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 20, 2025

Narender Kumar**.....Petitioner (s)**

Vs.

State of Haryana and another**.....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI**

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

RAMESH KUMARI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of the order dated 09.04.2025 as passed by the Court of learned JMIC, Panchkula, in complaint case No.COMA/101/2024, whereby his bail had been cancelled and bail bonds were forfeited to the State due to his non-appearance on that particular date and warrants of arrest were ordered to be issued against him.

2. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on 09.04.2025 as he inadvertently noted the next date as 09.07.2025. It is further submitted that his absence was not intentional. He is ready to join the proceedings before the trial Court and to abide by the terms and conditions to be imposed upon him, therefore, it is urged that the instant petition be allowed and order dated 09.04.2025 be set aside.

3. Though, this Court is of the considered opinion that there is no illegality or infirmity in the order dated 09.04.2025 as it was on account of non-



CRM-M-45080-2025

appearance of the petitioner that his bail was cancelled and non-bailable warrants were ordered to be issued against him. However, in view of the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage to grant the petitioner one opportunity to surrender before the trial Court and further keeping in view the fact that the absence of the petitioner does not attract the consequences of detaining him into custody as he is willing to join the trial and the ultimate aim is to ensure timely disposal of the cases before the learned trial Court, as such, the present petition is *disposed of* with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial Court on or before 20.09.2025 and it is further ordered that on his surrender and on moving appropriate application, the learned trial Court admit him to bail subject to his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to its satisfaction. However, his arrest shall remain stayed during the interregnum and this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed if the petitioner does not abide by this order.

4. A copy of this order be sent to the learned trial Court.

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

August 20, 2025
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	Yes / No