

2025:PHHC:044393



205.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44100-2024

Date of decision: 02.04.2025

Eusub Quresh alias Yusuf

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. S.K. Tripathi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G., Haryana, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Shashikant Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., in case FIR No.120, dated 22.04.2024, under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 31, District Faridabad.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 16.01.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR in question. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency. Learned State counsel, while drawing the attention of this Court to the role attributed to the petitioner Yusuf Qureshi that he was involved along with all other accused in the entire

dealings with the complainant Shri Ram Aggarwal, has submitted that although no specific and direct role has been attributed to the petitioner in the FIR in question, however, an amount of Rs.3 lakhs was transferred by the complainant into the account of the cousin of the petitioner-Eusub Qureshi @ Yusuf Qureshi.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the State as to whether the petitioner-Yusuf Qureshi has any previous criminal antecedents, he, on instructions, has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 16.01.2025, petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 16.01.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 02, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No