



239-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8628-2024
DECIDED ON: 11.02.2025

DEEPAK KHANNA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. V.D. Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Soi, Advocate for respondent No.7.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to the respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.5 to 7.

Status report filed by way of an affidavit of Nikhil Garg, PPS Deputy Superintendent of Police (D), District Fatehgarh Sahib, is taken on record.

The relevant para of status report read as under:-

“That in pursuance thereof, the enquiry commenced, during the course of enquiry, the complainant was associated and his statement was recorded. The petitioner was summoned on several occasions to join the enquiry, however, he did not come present. During the enquiry, bank account statements for Account No. 0533102100000827 at Punjab National Bank, Branch Mandi Gobindgarh, associated with M/s Khatu Sham Impex were obtained and examined. It was revealed that, on various dates, money was transferred from the account of M/s Khatu Sham Impex to Deepak

Enterprises. Subsequently, details of the account belonging to Deepak Enterprises were obtained from RBL Bank, Millerganj, Ludhiana. Upon examination, it was discovered that this account is in the name of Deepak Masih.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner disputes that the petitioner is Deepak Khanna. Accordingly, the bank account do not relate to him.

Though no representation was made by the petitioners to the authorities for the above-said relied.

However, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib is directed to consider the present petition as representation and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from today.

A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

The petition stands disposed off in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

11.02.2025

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>