

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-4449-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.09.2025

Run Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Daljit Singh Chawdhary and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Ranbir Singh Pathania, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for the respondent-UI.


VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition has been instituted alleging disobedience of order dated 09.02.2024, passed in CWP-26729-2013 (Annexure P-1), vide which the following directions were issued:

“4. Learned counsel for the respondents-UI does not dispute the authenticity of Annexures P-4, P-5 and P-6 and submits that Competent Authority would look into the matter and find out reason of non-release of disability pension in terms of aforesaid orders.


5. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents-UI, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to look into the matter and redress grievance of the petitioner within 3 months from today.”

2. Learned counsel representing the respondent(s) submits that the aforesaid order has since been complied with. In this regard, he refers to the averments made in paragraphs 11, 12 & 13 of the reply filed on behalf of the answering respondents, which read as under:



“11. That, thereafter. PAD BSF New Delhi, has issued an authority (Annexure -R-8) and amendment (Annexure-R-9) vide which disability pension arrear Rs. 85.547/- (for the period from 22.09.1980 to 31.12.2005) has been sanctioned in favour of the petitioner according to CCS(EOP) Rules, 1930 and same has been paid to Ex-NK Run Singh through e-payment by PAD BSF New Delhi vide Voucher No. V44705 dated 15.03.2024 (Annexure - R-10) and same was conveyed to the petitioner and petitioner Counsel vide our L/No.7048-52 dated 22/04/2024 (Annexure-R-11).

12. That, however, the petitioner vide his application dated 18/04/2024 has raised an objection of arrear amounting Rs. 85,547/- for the period 22.09.1980 to 31.12.2005 paid to him on 15.03.2024. Accordingly, his case has again been re-examined/re-calculated and submitted to PAD BSF, New Delhi vide 54 Bn BSF letter No. 812-13 dated 21.05.2024 to pass the payment of differential arrears. In turn, PAD BSF, New Delhi vide letter No. 18 dated Nil returned the case without any objection/ remarks/ directions. Further, 54 Bn BSF has again submitted the case to PAD BSF, New Delhi vide letter No. 21963 dated 19.11.2024 for passing and payment of difference of arrear amount to the petitioner. But the same has again been returned by PAD vide letter dated 28.11.2024 with some queries. Accordingly, 54 Bn BSF has re-submitted the case alongwith arrear calculation sheet for the period from 22.09.1980 to 31.12.2005 in which difference amount of arrear comes to Rs. 94,232/- which has been also sanctioned by PAD BSF, New Delhi and paid to the petitioner on



06.12.2024 through e-payment vide voucher No. V31159 dated 06.12.2024 (Annexure-R-12).

13. That, thereafter, the petitioner never raised any further objections and from the above, it is clear that all the benefits of disability pension have been granted to the petitioner according to CCS (EOP) Rules, 1939 from the date of retirement/medical boarded out and Hon'ble High Court Punjab and Haryana order dated 09.02.2024 passed in CWP No. 26729 of 2013 has been duly complied in its letter and spirit.”

3. That being so, no further orders are required to be passed. The instant contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.

4. However, in case any grievance still subsists, the petitioner may, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law.

5. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

September 11, 2025

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No