



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

1.

CRM-M-43999-2024
Date of decision: January 15th, 2025

Harsh Kumar

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent
2.

CRM-M-44094-2024

Suraj Bhatia

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent
3.

CRM-M-44107-2024

Jagjeet Singh @ Jagga

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Case has been called twice, however, even on second call, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners. On the previous dates of hearing also, the position was no different.

2. This Court vide order dated 06.09.2024, issued notice of motion, however, a perusal of orders passed thereafter reveal that either a request for adjournment had been made by learned counsel for the

petitioners or there had been no representation on their behalf.

3. It is, thus, evident that the case is being adjourned time and again. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter any further.

4. Hon'ble the Supreme Court on 19.11.2024 in *Nilesh Dnyaneshwar Desale Versus The State of Maharashtra: SLP (Criminal) Diary No.22040/2024* deprecated the practice of adjournments and dismissed the case for want of prosecution.

5. In the circumstances, the instant petitions stand dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. Copy of this order be placed on the file of each of the connected cases.

January 15th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No