

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-144-2017(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.03.2025**

Gaurav Yadav

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**


Present: Mr. TarunYadav, Advocate,
for the appellant.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, and
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Anmol Rattan S. Dhillon, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.
Mr. Chetan Slathia, Advocate,
for the applicant (in CM-5587-LPA-2024).

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that vide impugned judgment dated May 3, 2011, learned Single Judge had set aside the impugned orders and remitted the matter to the prescribed authority, Gurugram:

“... However, I find that the authority has not dealt with the issues of bonafide, which would be examined in the context of an explanation, which the owner was trying to proffer. The impugned orders are set aside and remitted to the prescribed authority, Gurgaon, who is arrayed as respondent no.5 for due consideration of the issue involved in the writ. The writ petition is disposed of as above.”

Accordingly, he submits that in the absence of any interim order granted by this Court in the present appeal, the competent authority has passed



fresh orders in terms of the impugned judgment. Therefore, it is urged that nothing substantive survives in this appeal, and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

Application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Sudeepti Sharma)
Judge

11.03.2025
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO