



135 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21795 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 31.07.2025

ANIL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * * *

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has applied for the grant of the CLU permission for setting up a Gaushala on his land and his application in this regard is pending before respondent No.2. Respondent No.1 has issued a show cause notice to the petitioner on 20.06.2025 (Annexure P-14). The petitioner has submitted his reply and had also appeared before the District Town Planner, Karnal but the petitioner apprehends that the respondents will delay taking the final decision on his application for the grant of the CLU.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and upon instructions from Ms. Gunjan Verma, DTP, Karnal, submits that the application of the petitioner for grant of CLU is pending wherein certain deficiencies have been pointed out. The petitioner should be directed to rectify the said deficiencies and upon his doing so, his application for the



grant of the CLU would be considered and decided expeditiously.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would rectify the aforesaid deficiencies within a period of one month.

5. Learned State counsel submits that if the petitioner does not remove the said deficiencies within a period of one month, they will take coercive action against the petitioner, in accordance with law.

6. Heard.

7. In view of limited prayer, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the application of the petitioner for the grant of the CLU within a period of one month after removal of the afore-noted deficiencies by the petitioner. If, however, the petitioner does not remove the deficiencies within a period of one month, the respondents would be at liberty to initiate action in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

31.07.2025
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No