



CRM-M-41475-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

325

CRM-M-41475-2025
Decided on :25.09.2025

Hardeep Singh Insan

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, Asst. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Divyam Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep Kanwar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 09.07.2025(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
39	29.03.2024	341/323/294/506 IPC	Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga



2. Vide order dated 07.08.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.
3. Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.
4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	One accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.



	Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Apart the present FIR, FIR No. 171/2022 and DDR No.15/2024 have been lodged against accused Hardeep Singh Insan.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner is the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

7. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.



CRM-M-41475-2025

4

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

9. Petition stands disposed of.

25.09.2025

rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**

Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**