



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

287

CRM-M-42070-2025

Date of Decision : **November 12, 2025**

JITENDER BAJAJ AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. T.P.Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Shivek Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.58 dated 01.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 379, 420 IPC, 1860, lateron added 406, 120-B of IPC, 1860 (deleted 379 of IPC), at Police Station Cyber Crime Karnal, District Karnal along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 05.08.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 18.02.2025 (P-3).
3. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.
4. Pursuant to the order dated 05.08.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Karnal and as per the report dated 87 dated 01.10.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.
5. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties

have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (CrL) 543***”.

6. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Karnal accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.58 dated 01.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 379, 420 IPC, 1860, lateron added 406, 120-B of IPC, 1860 (deleted 379 of IPC), at Police Station Cyber Crime Karnal, District Karnal along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

7. Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 12, 2025
ajaysharma

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No