



CWP-24317-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(245)

CWP-24317-2022

Date of Decision : 14.01.2025

Karam Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. J.S. Kailey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. T.P.S. Makkar, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to take appropriate action on letter (Annexure P-1), sent to Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda, by SDM, Maur, wherein, it was found that inappropriate compensation has been given for the loss of cotton crop due to pink bollworm (Gulabi Sundi) by the private respondent.

2. Upon notice, learned counsel for the respondent-State, has filed written statement dated 13.01.2025, by way of affidavit of Mr. Sukhraj Singh Dhillon, Sub Divisional Magistrate, Maur, on behalf of the respondents No.2 and 3, today in the Court. The same is taken on the record.

3. The study survey of the written statement/affidavit (supra), reflects that the complaint so preferred by the petitioner was duly considered

by the competent authorities concerned, and it was ordered to be consigned in



view of Annexures R-3 and R-4.

4. Since the asked for prayer has already been considered by the competent authorities concerned, and apt order has been passed, therefore, no further direction is required to be passed in the instant petition. Accordingly, the instant petition is **closed**.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

6. However, in case, the petitioner has still any grievance with regard to the decision taken by the competent authorities concerned, he is at liberty to approach the authorities concerned, under the apt provisions of law.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 14, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No