



104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40520-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 30.07.2025**

HARWINDER SINGH & ANR**...PETITIONER**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB**... RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. TPS Walia, Addl.A.G, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**1. Prayer**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 79 dated 17.06.2025 registered under Section 117(2), 115(2), 110 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 (Section 110 added later on vide GD No. 22 dated 03.07.2025) at P.S. Kotbhai, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. Contentions**On behalf of the petitioner**

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioners has further argued that as per the MLR, 2 injuries have been alleged to be suffered by the complainant on the non-vital parts of the body, in which the injury No.1 has been alleged



to be grievous in nature which is at the back of the complainant and the injury No.2 has been declared to be simple in nature.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigating officer.

Notice of motion.

On behalf of the State

Learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice on instructions from Investigating officer is not in a position to controvert the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. **Analysis**

After given a thoughtful consideration to the submissions as made, by the counsel for both the parties that as per the Medico-Legal Report (MLR), the complainant has been found to have sustained two injuries on non-vital parts of the body; nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, hence, this Court finds no reason to deny the petitioner the concession of anticipatory bail, wherein the petitioner has bona fide intentions and is willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency within the stipulated time period.

4. **Relief**

Hence, in view of the admitted set of circumstances before this Court, the petitioners are hereby directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to their joining investigation and reporting to the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of



Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS of which are reproduced below :-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stand cancelled.

The petition in the aforesaid terms stand allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

30.07.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>