



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

245

**RSA-2928-2013 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 30.10.2025**

**Sehzad Dagar and another**

**..... Appellants**

**versus**

**Jhhuthar**

**..... Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Manvinder Dalal, Advocate  
for the appellants.

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. Plaintiffs are in second appeal aggrieved of the judgment and decree passed by both the Courts below.
2. Plaintiffs filed suit seeking decree of declaration to the effect that sale deed bearing document No.54 dated 05.04.2006 and the consequential mutation No.1954 dated 15.06.2006 are illegal, nonest and does not confer any right, title or interest and that the impugned sale deed is merely a paper transaction.
3. From the records, it is discernible that a GPA was executed in favour of Dharambir by the plaintiffs. Dharambir executed sale deed in favour of Munshi etc. The purchasers Munshi etc. were not even impleaded as party to the present *lis*.
4. In view thereof, this Court finds no reason to interfere in the well reasoned findings recorded by the Courts below.



5. Finding no merit in the present appeal, the same is ordered to be dismissed.
6. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)  
JUDGE

30.10.2025  
Dinesh

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes |
| Whether Reportable :        | No  |