


S. No.211

2025:PHHC:166638



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42005 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:01.12.2025

Heera Surkhan alias Surmukh Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present:- Ms. Ravneet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Jasbir Singh.

Yashvir Singh Rathor, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.80 dated 01.06.2025 registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar, under Sections 333, 125, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959.

2. On 12.08.2025, following order was passed:-

“CRM-30187-2025

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-31162-2025


The applicant-petitioner through instant application is seeking permission to place on record amended petition.

Allowed as prayed for.

Amended petition is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

CRM-M-42005-2025

Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.80, dated 01.06.2025, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar, under Sections 333, 125, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959.



As per the allegation in the FIR, petitioner-accused committed trespass in the house of complainant and enquired the whereabouts of her son who was not present in the house. Thereafter, petitioner Heera Surkhan started firing in air with his pistol and thereafter, he alongwith co-accused Kittu and Arshdeep Singh fled away from the spot while threatening to kill her son Sahil.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He is suffering from 60% permanent disability in respect of lower limb as mentioned in the disability certificate (Annexure P-3) and he cannot run away from the spot. Learned counsel further contended that no gunshots were fired by the petitioner. Even as per allegation in the FIR, gunshot was fired in the air and not with the intention to kill anyone. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and weapon of offence is yet to be recovered for which his custodial interrogation is essential and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to **15.10.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.

3. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 12.08.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 12.08.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.

However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.



- 5. Disposed of.
- 6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Yashvir Singh Rathor)
Judge

December 01, 2025
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No