

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:135113



(253/A)

CRM-M-44019-2024

Date of Decision: 25.09.2025

Vikas Kumar & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. Sumit Tiwana, Legal Aid Counsel for
the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for issuance of necessary directions to respondents no.1 to 4 not to unnecessarily harass the petitioners or their family members by regularly searching the premises of petitioners' residence in connection with FIR No.0458 dated 26.07.2024 under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340, 345, 347(1), 61 of BNS, 2023 and Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station Industrial Area, District Panipat.

2. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, hence, Mr. Sumit Tiwana, Advocate (PH-4168/2025), who is present in Court, is appointed as Legal Aid Counsel on behalf of the petitioners to represent their case. He submits that petitioners are being unnecessarily harassed by the police in connection with the aforesaid FIR, thus compelling the petitioners to approach this Court for seeking a mandate that they should not be unnecessarily harassed and that the proceedings are

required to be taken in accordance with the provisions of the BNSS, 2023.

3. Learned State counsel, however, refers to the status report by way of affidavit of Suresh Kumar, Dy.S.P., Traffic, Panipat on behalf of respondent-State. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rakesh Sharma submits that the investigation in the FIR has already been carried out and charge sheet has already been filed. The charges against the petitioners have been framed on 18.01.2025 itself and the case is now fixed for prosecution evidence. Hence, no investigation is pending and the grievance espoused in the present petition needs no further redressal.

4. In view of the above submission of learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. A copy of this order be sent to High Court Legal Services Authority for necessary action.

25.09.2025

lucky

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No