



CRM-M-44529-2024 (O&M)

-1-

293

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44529-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.02.2025

AJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG Haryana
Mr. Vishal, Advocate for
Mr. Santosh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 6.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.145 dated 23.04.2024 under Sections 147/149/323/506 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City Sohna, District Gurugram and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise Annexure P-2 arrived at between the parties on 30.08.2024.

2. The following order was passed on 09.09.2024:

“Present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.145 dated 23.04.2024 under Sections 147/149/323/506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sohna, District Gurugram, on the basis of compromise Annexure P-2 arrived at between the parties on 30.08.2024.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Hayrana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and has no objection if statements of parties regarding compromise dated 30.08.2024 are recorded before trial Court. Mr. Santosh Bhardwaj, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 to 6 and filed his



CRM-M-44529-2024 (O&M)

-2-

Power of Attorney and has endorsed compromise dated 30.08.2024 arrived at between the parties.

Let the parties/the Investigating Officer appear before the trial Court/Area Judicial Magistrate on 23.09.2024 for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. The learned trial Court is directed to indicate (i) how many total accused are facing the trial, (ii) whether any of the accused was declared proclaimed offender at any stage of trial (iii) status/stage of the trial/case, (iv) to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise and (v) to send its report to this Court through District and Sessions Judge, before the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 23.10.2024.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.145 dated 23.04.2024 under Sections 147/149/323/506 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Sohna, District Gurugram along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

20.02.2025

Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No