

2025:PHHC:096633



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

112

**CWP-21738-2025 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 25.07.2025.**

BRAHMA NAND SHARMA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Ms. Aakriti Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the instant writ petition is confined only to the inappropriate rate of interest that has been awarded on the delayed release of gratuity.

2 Undisputedly, the payment of gratuity is governed by the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 and in the event of any employer failing to disburse, appropriate grievance redressal has already been prescribed under

2025:PHHC:096633



the aforesaid Act before the controlling Authority as notified under Section 3 of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.

3 Since there is an alternative remedy available to the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the said alternative remedies in accordance with law.

July 31, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No