



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226

CRWP-8095-2025

Decided on : 08.08.2025

Navneet Chaubey

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr.Raj Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, Asst. A.G., Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Navneet Chaubey, aged 25 years, has filed the instant petition seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus, for immediate release and production of the detenue namely Aanchal Devi (wife of the petitioner), who has been illegally detained by private respondent No.4.

2. On 25.07.2025, following order was passed:

“1. Petitioner – Navneet Chaubey, aged 25 years, has filed instant petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus, for seeking immediate release and production of the detenue, ‘Aanchal Devi’ (wife of the petitioner), who has been illegally detained by private respondent No. 4.

2. Counsel for the petitioner contends that his wife (Aanchal) has been taken away by respondent No. 4, illegally and has been kept, confined at his residence.

3. Notice of motion for 08.08.2025.

5. On asking of the Court, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice for respondents No.1 to 3– State, and seeks time to get instructions and to file reply, if any.

6. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be handed



CRWP-8095-2025

- 2 -

over to the learned State counsel, during the course of the day.

7. *Response, if any, be filed a week before the adjourned date of hearing with an advance copy to the counsel opposite*

8. *Remaining respondent No. 4 be also served through ordinary process as well as registered post for the date fixed.*

9. *Respondent No.3 – SHO, Balongi, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), would also inform respondents No. 4 about the next date of hearing fixed before this Court.*

10. *Meanwhile, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), is directed to immediately depute some police officer, to visit the house, where the detainee 'Aanchal Devi' is detained, and then to record the statement of all the concerned persons including of the alleged detainee 'Aanchal Devi'. Let there be a lady police official also, while visiting the place, where detainee has been detained.*

Said officer would ensure that the statement of the alleged detainee 'Aanchal Devi' is free from any kind of influence, fear or pressure, and thereafter, report prepared by him/her be produced before this Court.

Meanwhile, if detainee is found to be detained illegally, she be got released immediately. ”

3. Today, in response to the contentions raised by the petitioner, learned State Counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Karan Singh Sandhu, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Kharar-1, District S.A.S. Nagar, along with the statements (R-1/T and R-2/T) of detainee – Aanchal and respondent No. 4 – Sanjay Kumar Dubey, in Court. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place in the paper-book.

4. A perusal of the statement of the alleged detainee, Aanchal (aged 22 years), having mobile number 7696981659, reveals that she was married to petitioner – Navneet Chaubey, resident of Village Lachhmanpur, Police Station Wazirganj, District Gonda, U.P., on 12.07.2024 according to Hindu rites and customs at Ambala. After the marriage, she started residing with her

**CRWP-8095-2025****- 3 -**

husband (petitioner herein) at Village Jhammpur, Police Station Balongi. However, she has stated that her husband frequently subjected her to physical abuse under the influence of alcohol. Consequently, she returned to her parental home in Ambala in April 2025. Thereafter, she came into contact with one Sanjay Dubey and voluntarily went to Ludhiana with him, without any pressure, coercion, or fear. She has clearly expressed that she does not wish to return to petitioner – Navneet Chaubey.

5. In view of the stand taken by detenue-Aanchal in the status report and the statements got recorded therein by the concern, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition and prays for disposing of the same, as not pressed.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

08.08. 2025*rashmi*

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*