



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

285

CRM-M No.43581 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.09.2025

Paramjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gurpreet Kaur Sarabha, AAG Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

This petition has been filed by petitioner under Section 528 of BNSS for directions to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana (respondent No.2) to withdraw the investigation in the case pertaining to FIR No.40 dated 13.04.2024, under Sections 323, 341, 506 IPC, Police Station Delhon, District Ludhiana from SHO Police Station, Delhon, District Ludhiana and entrust the same to some senior police officer/Gazetted Officer, for investigation, and take action against ASI Navin Kumar, Investigating Officer of the case who did not add offence under Section 295-A IPC in the FIR, and pressurised the petitioner to compromise the matter with the accused.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no enquiry was conducted by respondent No.3 and that the petitioner's application was consigned to record without due consideration of the facts stated therein. It is further contended that the Investigating Officer compelled the petitioner to



compromise the matter with the accused, and instead of taking action against the Investigating Officer for his alleged conduct and failure the respondent No.3 merely consigned the application. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the present petition be disposed of by issuing directions to respondent No.2 to withdraw the investigation from the present Investigating Officer and entrust the same to a senior police officer/Gazetted Officer for fair investigation in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it is hereby directed that by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of this order, the representation of petitioner (Annexure P-2) be disposed of either by the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana (respondent No.2) or on assignment by him, any other police officer not below the rank of Deputy Commissioner of Police. It is however clarified that no finding is being returned by the Court, with regard to merits of the contents of representation. The respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to contents of representation of petitioner and make an independent decision at his own level.

4. In view of above, the present petition is hereby disposed of.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

25.09.2025

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No