



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**209-2**

**CRM-M-43387-2024**

**Date of decision: 29.01.2025**

Kamal Arora @ Kamaldeep

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate and  
Mr. Gurjas Singh Gill, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Viren Jain, Advocate and  
Mr. Raj Shekhar, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.146 dated 27.07.2024 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Bhikhi, District Mansa.

2. Fresh power of attorney on behalf of the complainant, after taking NOC from the previous counsel, has been filed in the Court today, which is taken on record.

3. On 12.09.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner



and him to join investigation:-

“2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that it is alleged that complainant Raj Kumar Mehta, who was working as Secretary of Shiv Shakti Medical Society, Bhikhi along with other Members have been removed by allegedly forging their signatures on resignation letters.

3. He contends that petitioner is the brother-in-law of the complainant and in order to harass, the complainant, who is the President of the Society has involved them in the present FIR. The petitioner has nothing to do with the management of said society. He further submits that co-accused was granted interim bail by this Court vide order dated 30.08.2024 in CRM-M-42482-2024.”

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 12.09.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Sukhjot Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 12.09.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

29.01.2025  
Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No